

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,993		03/03/2004	Jochum Bierma	BIERMA - 4	4845	
25889	7590	11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
WILLIAM COLLARD COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576			•	. WINNER,	. WINNER, TONY H	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3611	3611	
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/791,993	BIERMA, JOCHUM					
Office Action Summary	' E	xaminer	Art Unit					
		Tony H. Winner	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	w (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/04.			Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The invention describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. Claim 1 line 3, recites "its end" is unclear. The examiner is not clear as to what structure is "its end" referring to.
- b. Claim 3 lines 2-3, recites "the connecting rod end which is averted from the supporting foot" is unclear and confusing.
- c. Claim 1 lines 3-6, recites "with its end opposite of the lower touchdown end over the lifting height along a supporting foot guide means and is connected with a

Application/Control Number: 10/791,993

Art Unit: 3611

crank mechanism between the touchdown end and the upper guided end, characterized in that the crank mechanism (13) acts upon a connecting rod (9) linked to the supporting foot (5) and that a connecting rod guide means (10) which extends transversally to the supporting foot guide means (7) is provided for the end of the connecting rod (9) which is opposite of the supporting foot" is unclear and confusing.

Page 3

d. The following recitations lack antecedent basis:

Claim 1 line 4 " the lower tough down end"

Claim 1 line 6 "the upper guided end"

Claim 2 " the upper end of the supporting foot"

Claim 3 "the connecting rod end.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 are rejected (as best understood) under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alber (US. patent 6,397,960 B2).

Albert discloses a stair-climbing hand truck comprising:

a. two casters (17) held in a chassis,

Page 4

b. a supporting foot which is arranged as a two-arm lever (21) and is guided with a supporting foot guide means and is connected with a crank mechanism (see figure 2), wherein,

c. a crank mechanism acts upon a connecting rod (22) linked to the supporting foot and that a connecting rod guide means which extends transversally to the supporting foot guide means is provided for the end of the connecting rod which is opposite of the supporting foot.

With regard to claims 2-4, Albert discloses all of the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakatsukasa et al. ('400), Baghdadi ('161), Bierma ('552), Alber ('398), Morttenson ('827), Miller ('413), Fitzgerald et al. ('501), and Hanson ('440) are cited of interest.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (703) 306-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris, can be reached at (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,993

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Art Unit: 3611

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information-Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TONY WINNER
PATENT EXAMINER

November 13, 2004